Competitive Kill-and-Restart and Preemptive Strategies for Non-clairvoyant Scheduling

Sven Jäger Guillaume Sagnol Daniel Schmidt genannt Waldschmidt Philipp Warode

Highlights of Algorithms | 03 June 2023 | Prague

Minimizing the Sum of Weighted Completion Times

- Given: Set *J* of *n* jobs with processing times $p_j > 0$ and weights $w_j > 0$.
- Task: Schedule jobs on a single machine such that the sum of weighted completion times $\sum_{j \in J} w_j C_j$ is minimized.



What if we don't know the processing times beforehand?

What if we don't know the processing times beforehand?

- Preemptive scheduling algorithms can interrupt and resume jobs arbitrarily often.
- Cycling over jobs in infinitesimally small times \rightarrow processing jobs with rates $\in [0, 1]$.

What if we don't know the processing times beforehand?

- Preemptive scheduling algorithms can interrupt and resume jobs arbitrarily often.
- Cycling over jobs in infinitesimally small times \longrightarrow processing jobs with rates $\in [0, 1]$.

Algorithm: Weighted Round Robin (WRR) / Generalized Processor Sharing (GPS). At any time *t*:

1 Let J(t) be the set of unfinished jobs.

2 Process each job
$$j \in J(t)$$
 at rate $y_j(t) \leftarrow \frac{w_j}{\sum_{j \in J(t)} w_j}$.



What if we don't know the processing times beforehand?

- Preemptive scheduling algorithms can interrupt and resume jobs arbitrarily often.
- Cycling over jobs in infinitesimally small times \longrightarrow processing jobs with rates $\in [0, 1]$.

Algorithm: Weighted Round Robin (WRR) / Generalized Processor Sharing (GPS). At any time *t*:

1 Let J(t) be the set of unfinished jobs.

2 Process each job
$$j \in J(t)$$
 at rate $y_j(t) \leftarrow \frac{w_j}{\sum_{j \in J(t)} w_j}$.



What if we don't know the processing times beforehand?

- Preemptive scheduling algorithms can interrupt and resume jobs arbitrarily often.
- Cycling over jobs in infinitesimally small times \longrightarrow processing jobs with rates $\in [0, 1]$.

Algorithm: Weighted Round Robin (WRR) / Generalized Processor Sharing (GPS). At any time *t*:

1 Let J(t) be the set of unfinished jobs.

2 Process each job
$$j \in J(t)$$
 at rate $y_j(t) \leftarrow \frac{w_j}{\sum_{j \in J(t)} w_j}$.



single machine

Kim, Chwa '03: WRR is 2-competitive.

m parallel machines

Motwani et al. '94: If w = 1, then RR is 2-competitive.

no release dates

single machine

Kim, Chwa '03: WRR is 2-competitive.

m parallel machines

Motwani et al. '94: If w = 1, then RR is 2-competitive. with release dates

Theorem 1. WSETF *is* 2-*competitive*.

Weighted Shortest Elapsed Time First \approx WRR, but jobs released later catch up

Highlights of Algorithms | 03/06/2023 | Sven Jäger | 4 / 7

no release dates

single machine

Kim, Chwa '03: WRR is 2-competitive.

m parallel machines

Motwani et al. '94: If w = 1, then RR is 2-competitive. with release dates

Theorem 1. WSETF *is* 2-*competitive*.

Weighted Shortest Elapsed Time First \approx WRR, but jobs released later catch up

Motwani et al. '94: No non-clairvoyant preemptive algorithm can have a competitive ratio better than 2.

A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$





A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$

Example (b = 2 and unit weights)



time

A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$

Example (b = 2 and unit weights)



time

A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$



A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$



A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$



A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$



A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$



A job may be interrupted at any time. Interrupted jobs have to be started from scratch.

Algorithm: Deterministic *b*-Scaling.

- **1** Set $i \leftarrow 0$
- 2 While not all jobs are finished:

a Probe all unfinished jobs in a fixed order σ for a time $au = w_j b^i$ b $i \leftarrow i+1$



Competitiveness of the *b*-Scaling Strategy

- The 2-competitiveness of WRR implies that the 2-scaling strategy is 8-competitive.
- We refine the analysis and determine the exact competitive ratio of this strategy.

Competitiveness of the *b*-Scaling Strategy

- The 2-competitiveness of WRR implies that the 2-scaling strategy is 8-competitive.
- We refine the analysis and determine the exact competitive ratio of this strategy.

Theorem 2.

The b-scaling strategy is $(1 + \frac{2b^2}{b-1})$ -competitive, and this bound is tight. For b = 3 this yields $1 + 3\sqrt{3} \approx 6.196$.

Competitiveness of the *b*-Scaling Strategy

- The 2-competitiveness of WRR implies that the 2-scaling strategy is 8-competitive.
- We refine the analysis and determine the exact competitive ratio of this strategy.

Theorem 2.

The b-scaling strategy is $(1 + \frac{2b^{\frac{3}{2}}}{b-1})$ -competitive, and this bound is tight. For b = 3 this yields $1 + 3\sqrt{3} \approx 6.196$.

Randomization: Choose random permutation and random probing offset.

Theorem 3.

The rand. b-scaling strategy is $\frac{\sqrt{b}+2b-1}{\sqrt{b}\ln b}$ -competitive, and this bound is tight. For $b \approx 8.16$ this yields ≈ 3.032 .

Highlights of Algorithms | 03/06/2023 | Sven Jäger | 6 / 7

no release dates

with release dates

single machine

Theorem 2. *b-scaling is* 6.196*-competitive (and not better).* **Theorem 5.** *b-scaling is* 9.915-*competitive.*

m parallel machines

Theorem 6.

If w = 1, then b-scaling is 9.899-competitive.

no release dates

with release dates

single machine

Theorem 2. *b-scaling is* 6.196-*competitive (and not better).* **Theorem 5.** *b-scaling is* 9.915-*competitive.*

m parallel machines

Theorem 6.

If w = 1, then b-scaling is 9.899-competitive.

Theorem 7.

No deterministic non-clairvoyant kill-and-restart strategy has competitive ratio better than 3.

no release dates

with release dates

single machine

Theorem 2. *b-scaling is* 6.196*-competitive (and not better).*

Theorem 5. *b-scaling is* 9.915-*competitive.*

m parallel machines

Theorem 6.

If w = 1, then b-scaling is 9.899-competitive.

Theorem 7.

No deterministic non-clairvoyant kill-and-restart strategy has competitive ratio better than 3.

See you at the poster!